Exhibit 16-5 Rural Housing and Economic Development (RHED) Program

	Guide for Review of R	HED Cost Allowab	ility	
Name of Pro	gram Participant:		•	
Grant Number(s):		NOFA Date(s):		
Date of Executed Grant Agreement(s):		Date of Any Amendment(s):		
Date Funds	Obligated:			
Staff Consul	ted:			
Name(s) of Reviewer(s)		Date:		
(state required (que are in too id Neg "fine" Instructions with the cost Organization Governments Applicant" se	questions that address requirements ute, regulation, NOFA, approved a irement is not met, HUD must make stions that do not contain the citation cluded to assist the reviewer in unentify issues that, if not properly active conclusions to these questions ding." This Exhibit is designed to evaluallowability requirements of ONs) or OMB Circular A-87 (Cost s, depending on the type of entity ection of the SF-424 Form). Follow this Chapter in selecting trans	pplication, contract or see a finding of noncome on for the requirement of the particular standing the particular seed, could result as may result in a "concultate the RHED promate the RHED pro	grant agreement). If apliance. All other query of the program more in deficient performation deficient performation being raised, but the gram participant's of Cost Principles for Local and Indian Tass identified in the "	Ethe destions destions destions destions destions destinated and/or nce. It not a descompliance destinated and
System (I (If "no," including [Grant A	CHED draw down expenditures to LOCCs) for the sample reviewed describe in response below the expenditure to the dollar value.) Greement, Article I, Sections D at Basis for Conclusion:	d supported by proper expenditures not prop	er documentation? perly documented,	Yes No

16-1 09/2005 2. Does the sample review of RHED project expenditures demonstrate the existence of any unallowable entertainment costs as itemized in OMB Circular Yes No A-87, Attachment D? Grant Agreement, Article I, Sections D and E, Article III, and Article IV **Describe Basis for Conclusion:** 3. Does the review of RHED project expenditures demonstrate the existence of any unallowable contributions and donations costs as itemized in OMB Circular Yes No A-87, Attachment D? Grant Agreement, Article I, Sections D and E, Article III, and Article IV **Describe Basis for Conclusion:** Does the review of RHED project expenditures demonstrate the existence of any unallowable fines and penalties costs as itemized in OMB Circular A-87, Yes No Attachment D? Grant Agreement, Article I, Sections D and E, Article III, and Article IV] **Describe Basis for Conclusion:**

09/2005 16-2

Exhibit 16-5 Rural Housing and Economic Development (RHED) Program

	Does the review of RHED project expenditures demonstrate the existence of		
	any unallowable general governmental expenditure including salary and	Yes	No
	expenses of the Chief Executive Officer of the RHED program participant costs		
	as itemized in OMB Circular A-87, Attachment D?		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Sections D and E, Article III, and Article IV]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
6.			
	For the period reviewed, have RHED planning and administrative costs subject		
	to the 15 percent limitation been properly classified as stated in the applicable	Yes	No
	NOFA?		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Sections D and E, Article III, and Article IV]		
	[Grant Agreement, Article I, Sections D and E, Article III, and Article IV] Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

16-3 09/2005